

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, Case No. CR 14-00105 EMC (NC)

v.

Demetrius Jefferson, Defendant.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), the court on March 7, 2014, held a detention hearing to determine whether any condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community. Defendant Jefferson was present, represented by his attorney AFPD Ed Hu. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney D. Douglas. Jefferson is charged by indictment with being a felon in possession of a firearm in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g). The defendant is presumed innocent of the charge.

The detention hearing was held publicly. Both parties were advised of their opportunity to call witnesses and present evidence. Both parties were advised of their right to appeal this detention order to the District Court.

Part I. Presumptions Applicable

/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment, whichever is later.

This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.

// There is probable cause based upon the criminal complaint to believe that the defendant has committed an offense

- A. — for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR
- B. — under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the commission of a felony.

This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

/ X/ No presumption applies.

PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE

/ / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption, and he therefore will be ordered detained.

/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: .

Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.

PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE) N/A

PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

/ X / The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at the hearing and finds as follows: The Court adopts the facts set forth in the Pretrial Services (PTS) Report prepared March 6, 2014, supplemented by information presented at the hearing. The Court finds by more than clear and convincing evidence that the defendant poses a serious danger to the community that may not reasonably be mitigated by release conditions. The following factors establish the danger: extensive criminal record, including violence and evading peace officers; validated gang member; numerous incidents of assault while in prior custody; and poor performance while on prior supervision.

///

Part V. Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: April 3, 2014


NATHANAEL M. COUSINS
United States Magistrate Judge

AUSA ___, ATTY ___, PTS ___